Commission for Environmental Cooperation

Using North American PRTR Data for Information and Priority-Setting for Industrial Releases of HPV Chemicals

> Keith Chanon, Program Manager Pollutants and Health

Characterizing Chemicals in Commerce: Using Data on HPV Chemicals Dec. 12-14, 2006 Austin, Texas



Overview

- Description of the CEC's PRTR program
 Inclusion of HPV chemicals
- Tools used for PRTR data analyses
 - –North American rankings, TEPs, sector analyses, chemical categories
- Gaps in knowledge that remain
- Potential for synergies with the HPV Challenge program

Role of CEC: Information for Decision-Making

- Integrate data and information from a regional perspective
- Catalyze action: engage policy-makers, industry, NGOs, the public...

CEC PRTR Project: Mission & Purpose

- Track and publish information on amounts, sources & management of toxics across N.A.
- Increase the public's right to know about how chemicals are managed in their communities
- Enhance comparability among national PRTRs
- Strengthen capacity in Mexico to implement its national PRTR program

Enable PRIORITY-SETTING and DECISION-MAKING to stimulate REDUCTIONS in releases and transfers of toxic substances.

What is a PRTR?

A database on *Pollutant Releases* of chemical substances to air, water, land, and *Transfers* to disposal, treatment, energy recovery & recycling, compiled yearly into a *Register*.

PRTRs in North America:

- U.S. Toxics Release Inventory (TRI): 600+ substances
- Canadian National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI): 350+ subtances
- Mexican Registro de Emisiones y Transferencia de Contaminantes (RETC): 104 substances
- North American *Taking Stock* database (CEC):
 204 <u>matched</u> substances (U.S. and Canada)



Pollutant Release and Transfer Data



LIMITATIONS OF PRTR DATA

PRTR DATA DO NOT PROVIDE INFORMATION ON:

- chemicals released deliberately, such as pesticides
- all potentially harmful chemicals just those on the lists of chemicals which must be reported;
- chemicals released from mobile sources, or from small sources (e.g., dry cleaners, gas stations)
- information on risks and exposures to humans & the environment from chemicals released or transferred.

Action Plan on PRTRs



- Action Plan to Enhance Comparability Among PRTRs in North America adopted by Council in 2002 (Res. 02-05)
- Developed through collaboration among the national programs
- Updated version released September 2005

What is needed for PRTR data to be comparable across borders?

- Matching data requires:
 - Comparable chemical lists
 - Comparable reporting thresholds
 - Comparable industry sectors
 - Comparable industry classification codes
 - Comparable parameters for reporting releases
 and transfers
 - Data must also be reported on a mandatory basis, and must be publicly accessible



Lack of Comparability - Examples

- PCBs: Reported under U.S. TRI, but not Canadian NPRI
- Dioxins: U.S. and Canada use inconsistent reporting requirements
- Arsenic, cadmium: different thresholds, so not reported





TOOLS USED WITH MATCHED PRTR DATA



Taking Stock ("En Balance")



- Measures industrial releases and transfers in North America (Canada, U.S., Mexico)
- Based upon a "matched" data set
- Analyses by chemical, industry, jurisdiction and release & transfer categories
- Raises awareness of key health and environmental issues
- Enables increased dialogue and collaboration across borders & sectors

Uses of PRTR Data for Priority-Setting: Special CEC Reports

Report on "Children's Environmental Health Indicators"

First regional report defining core set of indicators and identifying associations between chemical sources, exposure, and health effects on children





\ "hildren's ealth and oxic hemicals"

Total reported amounts - 2003 2.99 million tonnes



Largest Sources of Total Releases On- and Off-site, 2003



0--

Top 10 Releases of Known or Suspected Carcinogens, 2003



Carcinogen Releases in North America: 2003

- Close to 150,000 tonnes in Canada and the U.S.
 - 58,000 tonnes released into the air
- Substances released in largest quantities:
 - Lead and its compounds (39,000 tonnes)
 - Styrene (25,000 tonnes)
 - Nickel and its compounds (16,500 tonnes)
 - Formaldehyde (11,500 tonnes)

BUT when ranked by toxicity (TEP), Carbon Tetrachloride and Lead are #1 & 2

Releases of Recognized Developmental & Reproductive Toxics, North America, 2003

- 110,000 tonnes in Canada and the U.S.
 - 52,000 tonnes released into the air
- Substances released in the largest quantities:
 - Lead and its compounds (40,000 tonnes)
 - Toluene (31,000 tonnes)
 - Nickel and its compounds (16,500 tonnes)
 - Carbon disulfide (13,000 tonnes)
- Benzene (3,900 tonnes)

BUT when ranked by toxicity (TEP), <u>Mercury is #1</u> and <u>Lead is #2</u>

North American States and Provinces with Largest Releases, 2003

Carcinogens

- Texas, 14,900 tonnes
- Louisiana, 9,300 tonnes
- Indiana, 9,000 tonnes
- California, 7,800 tonnes
- Ohio, 7,700 tonnes

Reproductive/develop'l

- Tennessee, 12,300 tonnes
- Indiana, 7,100 tonnes
- Texas, 6,800 tonnes
- Ontario, 6,300 tonnes
- Ohio, 5,600 tonnes

North American Industry Sectors

Largest releases of both Carcinogens and Reproductive/ Developmental Toxics, 2003

 Hazardous waste management/solvent recovery

 Chemicals (includes chemical manufacturing and processing)

Primary metals (includes steel mills)

Top 25 Matched Releases & Transfers of Carcinogens Compared with HPV Chemicals (2002)

1. Lead & compds	2/1	14. Tetrachloroethylene	8/15
2. Nickel& compds	4/-	15. Acrylonitrile	6/16
3. Styrene	23/26	16. Asbestos (friable)	-/-
4. Dichloromethane	7/18	17. Acrylamide	10/20
5. Ethylbenzene	-/-	18. Vinly Chloride	12/13
6. Formaldehyde	17/19	19. 1,2-Dichloroethane	13/7
7. Acetaldehyde	22/14	20. Diethyl sulfate	28/-
8. Vinyl Acetate	-/-	21. Chloroform	9/3
9. Benzene	3/5	22. Propylene Oxide	26/10
10. 1,3-Butadiene	14/9	23. 1,4-Dioxane	30/11
11. Trichloroethylene	15/22	24. Ethyl acrylate	29/30
12. Di(2-ethylhexyl) ph	ntalate 24/25	25. Carbon tetrachloride	1/2
13. Cobalt & compds	-/-		

Top 20 Matched Releases & Transfers of Dev/Reprod Toxics Compared with HPV Chemicals (2002)

1. Lead & compds	2/2	11. Bromomethane	4/8	
2. Toluene	6/10	12. 2-Methoxyethanol	13/5	
3. Nickel & compds	3/3	13. Ethylene Oxide	10/7	
4. Carbon disulfide	8/11	14. Lithium carbonate	-/-	
5. Benzene	7/6	15. Epichlorohydrin	9/4	
6. N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone -/-		16. 2-Ethoxyethanol	15/15	
7. Chloromethane	5/9	17. Dinitrotoluene	-/-	
8. 1,3-Butadiene	12/12	18. Ethylene thiourea	14/14	
9. Di(2-ethylhexyl)phtalate 11/13 19. Tetracycline hydrochloride -/-				
10. Mercury & compo	s 1/1	20. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	17/16	

Common Challenges for the PRTR Program and the HPV Challenge Program:

Disseminating information:

- Reaching communities
- Providing context:
 - Health and environmental impacts information
 - Chemical risk and exposure information
- Taking Action

Potential Program Synergies?

- –HPV Challenge as a potential source of H&E impact, risk & exposure information for *Taking Stock*
- Taking Stock matched database as an additional source of information on annual releases and transfers of HPV chemicals (e.g., from the chemicals manufacturing sector and others)

 Both programs can provide information supporting priority-setting and decision-making on substances of concern, not only in the U.S., but across North America

Get Involved

Annual CEC PRTR Consultative Group Meeting

Contact: Keith Chanon, Program Manager Pollutants and Health CEC

Telephone: (514) 350-4300 Fax: (514) 350-4314 Email: kchanon@cec.org www.cec.org

